



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,205	03/11/2004	Henri Waelbroeck	61165-5001	7411
9629 7590 04/02/2008 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				
EXAMINER				
EBERSMAN, BRUCE I				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3691				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/799,205

Applicant(s)

WAELEBROECK ET AL.

Examiner

BRUCE I. EBERSMAN

Art Unit

3691

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRUCE I. EBERSMAN.(3) Fred Frederspiel (Inventor).(2) Steven Underwood (PHD, Attorney).(4) Pristine S. Johannessen (attorney).

Date of Interview: 27 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: screen displays of invention, pipeline trading software.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lupien and Shaw.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant disclosed invention and differences between invention and prior art. Applicant will be forwarding an amendment which further distinguishes claims of this application from the prior art..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexander Kalinowski/ SPE
Art Unit 3691

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required